
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: 001-34728

DOUGLAS DYNAMICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

134275891
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

7777 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53223
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(414) 354-2310
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of registrant's common shares outstanding as of May 9, 2011 was 21,848,947

DOUGLAS DYNAMICS, INC.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

[Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010](#)

[Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010](#)

[Unaudited Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010](#)

[Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements](#)

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

1
1
1
2
3
4
11
19
19
21
21
21
27
28
28
28
28
29
30

[Table of Contents](#)**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

Douglas Dynamics, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(In thousands except share data)

| | March 31, 2011 (unaudited) | December 31, 2010 (audited) |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 19,534 | \$ 20,149 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 8,970 | 37,040 |
| Inventories | 40,129 | 23,481 |
| Deferred income taxes | 7,142 | 7,142 |
| Prepaid income taxes | 1,260 | 29 |
| Prepaid and other current assets | 792 | 1,131 |
| Total current assets | 77,827 | 88,972 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 21,482 | 21,962 |
| Assets held for sale | 1,732 | 1,779 |
| Goodwill | 107,222 | 107,222 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 125,648 | 126,948 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 873 | 953 |
| Other long-term assets | 158 | 207 |
| Total assets | \$ 334,942 | \$ 348,043 |
| Liabilities and stockholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 5,093 | \$ 2,847 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 8,901 | 11,923 |
| Accrued interest | 23 | 23 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 1,183 | 1,183 |
| Total current liabilities | 15,200 | 15,976 |
| Retiree health benefit obligation | 7,366 | 7,235 |
| Pension obligation | 10,277 | 10,753 |
| Deferred income taxes | 23,911 | 22,650 |
| Deferred compensation | 947 | 1,067 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 119,675 | 119,971 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 404 | 898 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common Stock, par value \$0.01, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 21,848,947 and 21,579,655 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 218 | 216 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 128,584 | 127,695 |
| Stockholders' notes receivable | (445) | (482) |
| Retained earnings | 33,236 | 46,495 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax | (4,431) | (4,431) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 157,162 | 169,493 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 334,942 | \$ 348,043 |

See the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

[Table of Contents](#)

Douglas Dynamics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 (unaudited) | March 31, 2010 |
| Net sales | \$ 23,490 | \$ 14,647 |

| | | | | |
|--|----|------------|----|------------|
| Cost of sales | | 14,419 | | 12,667 |
| Gross profit | | 9,071 | | 1,980 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | | 5,911 | | 5,808 |
| Intangibles amortization | | 1,300 | | 1,540 |
| Management fees-related party | | 16 | | 347 |
| Income (loss) from operations | | 1,844 | | (5,715) |
| Interest expense, net | | (2,204) | | (3,715) |
| Other income (expense), net | | (115) | | 6 |
| Loss before taxes | | (475) | | (9,424) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | | 325 | | (3,705) |
| Net loss | \$ | (800) | \$ | (5,719) |
| Less net loss attributable to participating securities | | (10) | | - |
| Net loss attributable to common shareholders | \$ | (790) | \$ | (5,719) |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Basic | | 21,414,029 | | 14,421,736 |
| Diluted | | 21,414,029 | | 14,421,736 |
| Loss per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ | (0.04) | \$ | (0.40) |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.04) | \$ | (0.40) |
| Cash dividends declared and paid per share | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.00 |

See the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

Douglas Dynamics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| | (unaudited) | |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (800) | \$ (5,719) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,047 | 3,557 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 131 | 302 |
| Amortization of debt discount | 17 | - |
| Stock-based compensation | 265 | - |
| Provision for losses on accounts receivable | 312 | 49 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,261 | 1,108 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 27,758 | 22,524 |
| Inventories | (16,648) | (12,574) |
| Prepaid and other assets and prepaid income taxes | (843) | (5,217) |
| Accounts payable | 2,246 | (1,285) |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | (3,514) | (7,179) |
| Deferred compensation | (120) | 62 |
| Benefit obligations and other long-term liabilities | (347) | 129 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 11,765 | (4,243) |
| Investing activities | | |
| Capital expenditures | (267) | (1,240) |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment | 47 | - |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (220) | (1,240) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 626 | -- |
| Collection of stockholders' notes receivable | 37 | -- |
| Payments of financing costs | (51) | -- |
| Dividends paid | (12,459) | -- |
| Revolver borrowings | - | 6,000 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (313) | (213) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (12,160) | 5,787 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Change in cash and cash equivalents | (615) | 304 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 20,149 | 69,073 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 19,534 | \$ 69,377 |

See the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

[Table of Contents](#)

Douglas Dynamics, Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements
(in thousands except share and per share data)

1. Basis of presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for fiscal year end financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. For further information, refer to the financial statements and related footnotes included in our Form 10-K (Commission File No. 1-34728) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

We operate as a single business unit.

Interim Consolidated Financial Information

The accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2011 and the consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 have been prepared by the Company and have not been audited.

Other comprehensive income (loss) includes the net income (loss) of the Company plus the Company's adjustments for its defined benefit retirement plans based on the measurement date as of the Company's year-end. Other comprehensive income (loss) was the same as net income (loss) for both of the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The Company's business is seasonal and consequently its results of operations and financial condition vary from quarter-to-quarter. Because of this seasonality, the Company's results of operations for any quarter may not be indicative of results of operations that may be achieved for a subsequent quarter or the full year, and may not be similar to results of operations experienced in prior years. The Company attempts to manage the seasonal impact of snowfall on its revenues in part through its pre-season sales program. This pre-season sales program encourages the Company's distributors to re-stock their inventory during the second and third quarters in anticipation of the peak fourth quarter retail sales period by offering favorable pre-season pricing and payment deferral until the fourth quarter. Thus, the Company tends to generate its greatest volume of sales during the second and third quarters. By contrast, its revenue and operating results tend to be lowest during the first quarter, as management believes the Company's end-users prefer to wait until the beginning of a snow season to purchase new equipment and as the Company's distributors sell off inventory and wait for the pre-season sales incentive period to re-stock inventory. Fourth quarter sales vary from year-to-year as they are primarily driven by the level, timing and location of snowfall during the quarter. This is because most of the Company's fourth quarter sales and shipments consist of re-orders by distributors seeking to restock inventory to meet immediate customer needs caused by snowfall during the winter months.

2. Fair Value

The carrying values of the Company's cash and cash equivalents (Level 1 per Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820-10), trade accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and short term borrowings approximated fair value as of March 31, 2011. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt, including current maturities, is estimated using discounted cash flows based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. Both the carrying value and fair value (Level 2 per ASC 820-10) of the Company's long-term debt at March 31, 2011 was \$120,858.

3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Finished goods and work-in-process | \$ 38,547 | \$ 21,896 |
| Raw material and supplies | 1,582 | 1,585 |
| | <u>\$ 40,129</u> | <u>\$ 23,481</u> |

[Table of Contents](#)

4. Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are summarized as follows:

| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 960 | \$ 960 |
| Land improvements | 1,768 | 1,768 |
| Buildings | 12,554 | 12,554 |
| Machinery and equipment | 22,303 | 22,343 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 6,554 | 6,482 |
| Mobile equipment and other | 1,053 | 1,019 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Construction-in-process | 582 | 422 |
| Total property, plant and equipment | 45,774 | 45,548 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (24,292) | (23,586) |
| Net property, plant and equipment | <u>\$ 21,482</u> | <u>\$ 21,962</u> |

5. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt is summarized below:

| | <u>March 31,</u> <u>2011</u> | <u>December 31,</u> <u>2010</u> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Term Loan | \$ 120,858 | \$ 121,154 |
| Total long-term debt | 120,858 | 121,154 |
| Less current maturities | 1,183 | 1,183 |
| | <u>\$ 119,675</u> | <u>\$ 119,971</u> |

At March 31, 2011, the Company's senior credit facilities consisted of a \$125,000 term loan facility and a \$60,000 revolving credit facility with a group of banks. The term loan facility was comprised of the original as amended \$85,000 term loan facility and a \$40,000 additional term loan. The Company paid interest on the original \$85,000 term loan (at the Company's option) either the base rate (which shall be no less than 3%) plus 3.5% or the eurodollar rate (which shall be no less than 2%) plus 4.5%. The interest for the additional \$40,000 term loan was a rate equal to (at the Company's option) either the base rate (which could be no less than 3%) plus 4% or the eurodollar rate (which could be no less than 2%) plus 5%. Under the revolving credit facility, the margin for base rate loans was either 0.25% or 0.50% and the margin for eurodollar rate loans was either 1.25% or 1.50%, in each case determined based on the Company's leverage ratio from time to time.

The maturity date for the Company's revolving credit facility was May 21, 2012, and the Company's term loan amortizes in nominal amounts quarterly with the balance payable on May 21, 2013 with respect to the existing term loans and May 21, 2016 with respect to the additional term loans. See Note 15, Subsequent Events, for a description of modifications to the Company's senior credit facilities that took place in April 2011.

At March 31, 2011 the Company had no outstanding borrowings on the revolving credit facility and remaining borrowing availability of \$45,938.

The Company's senior credit facilities include certain negative and operating covenants, including restrictions on its ability to pay dividends, and other customary covenants, representations and warranties and events of default. The senior credit facilities entered into and recorded by the Company's subsidiaries significantly restrict the subsidiaries from paying dividends and otherwise transferring assets to Douglas Dynamics, Inc. The terms of the Company's revolving credit facility specifically restrict subsidiaries from paying dividends if a minimum availability under the revolving credit facility is not maintained (such event, a "liquidity event"), and both senior credit facilities restrict subsidiaries from paying dividends above certain levels or at all if an event of default has occurred. In addition, the Company's revolving credit facility includes a requirement that, subject to certain exceptions, capital expenditures may not exceed \$10,000 in any calendar year and, during the occurrence of a liquidity event, that the Company comply with a monthly minimum fixed charge coverage ratio test of 1.0:1.0. Compliance with the fixed charge coverage ratio test is subject to certain cure rights under the Company's revolving credit facility. At March 31, 2011, the Company was in compliance with the respective covenants. The credit facilities are collateralized by substantially all assets of the Company.

[Table of Contents](#)

In accordance with the senior credit facilities, the Company is required to make additional principal prepayments over the above scheduled payments under certain conditions. This includes, in the case of the term loan facility, 100% of the net cash proceeds of certain asset sales, certain insurance or condemnation events, certain debt issuances, and, within 150 days of the end of the fiscal year, 50% of excess cash flow, as defined, including a deduction for allowed distributions (which percentage is reduced to 25% or 0% upon the achievement of certain leverage ratio thresholds), for any fiscal year. Excess cash flow is defined in the senior credit facilities as consolidated adjusted EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) plus a working capital adjustment less the sum of repayments of debt and capital expenditures subject to certain adjustments, interest and taxes paid in cash, management fees and certain restricted payments (including dividends or distributions). Working capital adjustment is defined in the senior credit facilities as the change in working capital, defined as current assets excluding cash and cash equivalents less current liabilities excluding current portion of long term debt. As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company was not required to make an excess cash flow payment.

6. Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities

Accrued expenses and other liabilities are summarized as follows:

| | <u>March 31,</u> <u>2011</u> | <u>December 31,</u> <u>2010</u> |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Payroll and related costs | \$ 1,997 | \$ 2,993 |
| Employee benefits | 2,704 | 2,334 |
| Accrued warranty | 2,270 | 3,399 |
| Other | 1,930 | 3,197 |
| | <u>\$ 8,901</u> | <u>\$ 11,923</u> |

7. Warranty Liability

The Company accrues for estimated warranty costs as sales are recognized and periodically assesses the adequacy of its recorded warranty liability and adjusts the amount as necessary. The Company's warranties generally provide, with respect to its snow and ice control equipment, that all material and workmanship will be free from defect for a period of two years after the date of purchase by the end-user, and with respect to its parts and accessories purchased separately, that such parts and accessories will be free from defect for a period of one year after the date of purchase by the end-user. Certain snowplows only provide for a one year warranty. The Company determines the amount of the estimated warranty costs (and its corresponding warranty reserve) based on the Company's prior five years of warranty history utilizing a formula driven by historical warranty expense and applying management's judgment. The Company adjusts its historical warranty costs to take into account unique factors such as the introduction of new products into the marketplace that do not provide a historical warranty record to assess. The warranty reserve is included in Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following is a rollforward of the Company's warranty liability:

Three months ended

| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Balance at the beginning of the period | \$ 3,399 | \$ 3,040 |
| Warranty provision | 387 | 229 |
| Claims paid/settlements | (1,516) | (1,336) |
| Balance at the end of the period | <u>\$ 2,270</u> | <u>\$ 1,933</u> |

6

[Table of Contents](#)

8. Employee Retirement Plans

The components of net periodic pension cost consist of the following:

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| Component of net periodic pension cost: | | |
| Service cost | \$ 240 | \$ 200 |
| Interest cost | 385 | 358 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (339) | (290) |
| Amortization of net loss | 113 | 81 |
| Net periodic pension cost | <u>\$ 399</u> | <u>\$ 349</u> |

The Company estimates its total required minimum contributions to its pension plans in 2011 will be \$1,160. Through March 31, 2011, the Company has made \$875 of cash contributions to the pension plans in 2011 versus \$289 through the same period in 2010.

Components of net periodic other postretirement benefit cost consist of the following:

| | Three months ended | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| Components of net periodic other postretirement benefit cost | | |
| Service cost | \$ 66 | \$ 82 |
| Interest cost | 102 | 120 |
| Amortization of net gain | (15) | (3) |
| Net periodic other postretirement benefit cost | <u>\$ 153</u> | <u>\$ 199</u> |

7

[Table of Contents](#)

9. Dividends

Cash dividends declared and paid on a per share basis were as follows:

| | Three months ended | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| Dividends declared | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.00 |
| Dividends paid | \$ 0.57 | \$ 0.00 |

10. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share of common stock is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share of common stock is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares and common stock equivalents related to the assumed exercise of stock options, using the two-class method. Stock options for which the exercise price exceeds the average fair value have an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share and are excluded from the calculation. There were 186,857 and 819,185 shares excluded from diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, as the shares would be anti-dilutive for those periods as the Company incurred a net loss.

As restricted shares participate in dividends, in accordance with ASC 260, the Company has calculated earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method, which is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Under this method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to common shares and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends.

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| Basic earnings per common share | | |
| Net loss | \$ (800) | \$ (5,719) |
| Less loss allocated to participating securities | (10) | - |

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Net loss allocated to common shareholders | \$ (790) | \$ (5,719) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 21,414,029 | 14,421,736 |
| | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.40) |
| Earnings per common share assuming dilution | | |
| Net loss | \$ (800) | \$ (5,719) |
| Less loss allocated to participating securities | (10) | - |
| Net loss allocated to common shareholders | \$ (790) | \$ (5,719) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 21,414,029 | 14,421,736 |
| Incremental shares applicable to stock based compensation | - | - |
| Weighted average common shares assuming dilution | 21,414,029 | 14,421,736 |
| | \$ (0.04) | \$ (0.40) |

11. Employee Stock Plans

Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan

In connection with the Company's initial public offering (the "IPO"), in May 2010, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders amended and restated the Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated, the "A&R 2004 Plan") and certain outstanding award agreements thereunder, to among other things, eliminate the ability of the holders thereunder to use a promissory note to pay any portion of the exercise price of the options, to provide that the use of "net exercises" to pay any portion of the exercise price of the options shall be at the sole discretion of the committee administering the A&R 2004 Plan, and to effect certain ministerial changes under the A&R 2004 Plan. In addition, in connection with the IPO, the Board of Directors also resolved not to issue any further awards under the A&R 2004 Plan. As of March 31, 2011, 207,962 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance upon the exercise of outstanding options under the A&R 2004 Plan. All outstanding options are fully vested, excluding 47,500 options, of which 23,750 will vest on August 27, 2011 and the remaining options will vest on August 27, 2012. All options expire 10 years from the date of grant.

8

[Table of Contents](#)

2010 Stock Incentive Plan

In connection with the IPO, in May 2010, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders adopted the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). The 2010 Plan provides for the issuance of nonqualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards and restricted stock units, any of which may be performance-based, and for incentive bonuses, which may be paid in cash or stock or a combination of both, to eligible employees, officers, non-employee directors and other service providers to the Company and its subsidiaries. A maximum of 2,130,000 shares of common stock may be issued pursuant to all awards under the 2010 Plan.

The following summarizes restricted stock grants under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan:

- May 2010 - An aggregate of 208,130 shares of restricted stock were granted to certain officers and employees under the 2010 Plan. The restricted stock awards were time-based and vest over a five-year period in equal annual installments of 20% per year, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- October 2010 - An aggregate of 33,954 shares of restricted stock were granted to certain officers and employees under the 2010 Plan. The restricted stock awards were time based and vest over a three-year period in equal annual installments. The first tranche vested upon issuance in January 2011 and the remaining two tranches will vest in January 2012 and 2013, contingent on the continuous employment through the applicable vesting date.
- January 2011 - An aggregate of 4,500 shares of restricted stock were granted to certain employees under the 2010 Plan. The restricted stock awards are time based and vest over a three-year period in equal annual installments of thirty three and one third percent per year, commencing on January 1, 2012 and each subsequent January 1, 2013 and 2014, respectively.
- March 2011 - An aggregate of 38,190 shares of restricted stock were granted to certain officers and employees under the 2010 Plan. The restricted stock awards are time based and vest over a three-year period in equal annual installments of thirty three and one third percent per year, commencing on January 1, 2012 and each subsequent January 1, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

The restricted stock does not carry voting rights until the stock vests. However, restricted stock holders do participate in dividends.

In March 2011, an aggregate of 57,305 shares of unrestricted stock were granted to certain officers and employees under the 2010 Plan. The unrestricted stock awards are performance based and will vest upon issuance in March 2012. The Company recognized \$83 of compensation expense related to unrestricted stock awards granted for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The unrestricted stock does not carry voting rights or participate in dividends until the stock vests.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had 1,801,214 shares of common stock available for future issuance of awards under the 2010 Plan. The shares of common stock to be issued under the 2010 Plan will be made available from authorized and unissued Company common stock.

Stock Options

The following table summarizes information with respect to the Company's stock option activity under the A&R 2004 Plan for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Certain of the Company's stockholders exercised 148,651 stock options, of which 27,117 options were exercised utilizing a broker assisted cashless exercise. The options exercised were granted under APB 25 with an exercise price equal to fair value at date of grant, and accordingly so, no compensation expense was recorded at the time of grant. The Company did not bear the risk and rewards of the options and thus, did not record stock based compensation expense. The option holders paid the Company the required exercise price for the remaining options at the time of exercise and therefore did not record any stock based compensation expense. The following is a rollforward of stock option activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

| | Three months ended March 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Stock options - beginning of period | 356,613 |
| Options exercised | 148,651 |
| Stock options - end of period | 207,962 |

9

Restricted Stock Awards

A summary of restricted stock activity for the three months ended March 31, 2011 is as follows:

| | Shares (In thousands) | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair value | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|
| Unvested at December 31, 2010 | 242,084 | \$ 11.68 | 4.01 years |
| Granted | 42,690 | \$ 15.00 | 2.75 years |
| Vested | (8,490) | \$ 14.32 | |
| Cancelled and forfeited | — | — | |
| Unvested at March 31, 2011 | <u>276,284</u> | <u>\$ 12.11</u> | <u>3.67 years</u> |
| Expected to vest in the future at March 31, 2011 | <u>266,342</u> | <u>\$ 12.11</u> | <u>3.67 years</u> |

The fair value of the Company's restricted stock awards is the closing stock price on the date of grant. The Company recognized \$182 of compensation expense related to restricted stock awards granted for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The unrecognized compensation expense calculated under the fair value method for shares expected to vest as of March 31, 2011 was approximately \$2,790 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.67 years.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is engaged in various litigation including product liability and intellectual property disputes. However, the Company does not believe that any pending litigation will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position. In addition, the Company is not currently a party to any environmental-related claims or legal matters.

13. Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The largest item affecting deferred taxes is the difference between book and tax amortization of goodwill and other intangibles amortization. The Company estimates that the effective combined federal and state tax rate for 2011 will be approximately 39%. The Company's effective tax rate was (68.4%) and 39.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The effective tax rate (benefit) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was higher than the corresponding period in 2010 due to adjusting the Company's net deferred tax liabilities to the higher estimated federal rate for 2011 compared to the actual rate incurred in 2010.

14. Related Party Transactions

The Company is party to a Joint Management Services Agreement with Aurora Management Partners, LLC ("AMP") and ACOF Management, LP ("ACOF"), affiliates of its principal stockholders. Prior to the IPO, this agreement obligated the Company to pay an annual management fee of \$1,250 per annum, to AMP and ACOF, pro rata in accordance with their respective holdings, plus reimbursement of reasonable out-of-pocket expenses, in exchange for consultation and advice in fields such as financial services, accounting, general business management, acquisitions, dispositions and banking.

In connection with the Company's IPO, the Company amended and restated the terms of its Joint Management Services Agreement to, among other things, (i) extend the term of service until the earlier of (A) the fifth anniversary of the consummation of the Company's IPO, (B) such time as AMP and ACOF, together with their affiliates, collectively hold less than 5% of the Company's outstanding common stock and (C) such time as all parties mutually agree in writing, while eliminating all other termination events (other than termination for cause); (ii) eliminate the annual management fee, as well as the provision obligating the Company to pay AMP and ACOF a transaction fee in the event of an acquisition or any sale or disposition of the Company or any of its divisions or any sale of substantially all Company assets or similar transactions in exchange for a one-time fee of \$5,800 upon the consummation of the IPO, pro rata in accordance with their respective holdings; and (iii) modify the expense reimbursement provisions to include reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with SEC and other legally required filings made by each of AMP and ACOF with respect to the Company's securities and certain other expenses.

During the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010 the Company recognized management fees and related expenses of \$16 and \$347, respectively, relating to the Joint Management Service Agreement.

15. Subsequent Events

On April 18, 2011, the Company amended its senior credit facilities to, among other things, (i) increase the borrowing ability under the revolving credit agreement by \$10,000, and (ii) amend certain of the provisions in its senior credit facilities which govern the Company's ability to pay dividends. Consequently, as of April 18, 2011, the Company's senior credit facilities consisted of a \$125,000 term loan facility and a \$70,000 revolving credit facility with a group of banks. Prior to the April 2011 changes to the Company's senior credit facilities, the interest on the original \$85,000 term loan facility was (at the Company's option) either the base rate (which shall be no less than 3%) plus 3.5% or the eurodollar rate (which shall be no less than 2%) plus 4.5%. The interest for the additional \$40,000 in the Company's term loan facility was an interest rate equal to (at the Company's option) either the base rate (which shall be no less than 3%) plus 4% or the eurodollar rate (which shall be no less than 2%) plus 5%. Under the previous revolving credit facility, the margin for base rate loans was either 0.25% or 0.50% and the margin for eurodollar rate loans is either 1.25% or 1.50%, in each case determined based on the Company's leverage ratio from time to time. The previous term loans consisted of an initial term loan of \$85,000 and a "tack on" of \$40,000. These were replaced by a term loan of \$125,000. The \$60,000 revolving credit facility was amended and restated to provide for borrowings of up to \$70,000. The agreement for the new term loan (the "Term Loan Credit Agreement") provides for a senior secured term loan facility in the aggregate principal amount of \$125,000 and generally bears interest at (at the Company's election) either (i) 3.25% per annum plus the greatest of (a) the Prime Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Credit Agreement) in effect on such day, (b) the weighted average of the rates on overnight Federal funds transactions with members of the Federal Reserve System arranged by Federal funds brokers plus 0.50% and (c) 1.00% plus the greater of (1) the London Interbank Offered Rate for a one month interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate (as defined in the Term Loan Credit Agreement) and (2) 1.50% or (ii) 4.25% per annum plus the greater of (a) the London Interbank Offered Rate for the applicable interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate and (b) 1.50%. The revolving credit facility as amended and restated (the "Revolving Credit Agreement") provides that the Company has the option to select whether borrowings will bear interest at either (i) 2.25% per annum plus the London Interbank Offered Rate for the applicable interest period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate or (ii) 1.25% per annum plus the greatest of (a) the Prime Rate in effect on such day, (b) the weighted average of the rates on overnight Federal funds transactions with members of the Federal Reserve System arranged by Federal funds brokers plus 0.50% and (c) the London Interbank Offered Rate for a one month interest

period multiplied by the Statutory Reserve Rate plus 1%. The maturity date for the Company's amended and restated revolving credit facility is April 18, 2016, and the Company's new term loan amortizes in nominal amounts quarterly with the balance payable on April 18, 2018.

The Company's entry into the new term loan facility resulted in a significant modification of the Company's debt which resulted in the write off of unamortized capitalized deferred financing costs of \$340 and expected expenditures of \$681 related to financing costs paid to existing lenders which will be recorded as a loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statement of Operations during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Additionally, the new term loan facility includes a hedge provision, which requires the Company to enter into an interest rate hedge commencing 90 days after the closing date. The hedging provision requires the Company to hedge the interest rate on at least 25% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the Term Loans. As of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q the Company has not entered into a hedging agreement.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes which are included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as the information contained in our Form 10-K (Commission File No. 001-34728) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, unless the context indicates otherwise: "Douglas Dynamics," the "Company," "we," "our," or "us" refer to Douglas Dynamics, Inc.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). These statements include information relating to future events, product demand, the payment of dividends, future financial performance, strategies, expectations, competitive environment, regulation and availability of financial resources. These statements are often identified by use of words such as "anticipate," "believe," "intend," "estimate," "expect," "continue," "should," "could," "may," "plan," "project," "predict," "will" and similar expressions and include references to assumptions and relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies. Such

11

[Table of Contents](#)

statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to: (i) weather conditions, particularly lack of or reduced levels of snowfall; (ii) a significant decline in economic conditions; (iii) our inability to maintain good relationships with our distributors; (iv) lack of available or favorable financing options for our end-users or distributors; (v) increases in the price of steel or other materials necessary for the production of our products that cannot be passed on to our distributors; (vi) the inability of our suppliers to meet our volume or quality requirements; (vii) our inability to protect or continue to build our intellectual property portfolio; (viii) our inability to develop new products or improve upon existing products in response to end-user needs; (ix) losses due to lawsuits arising out of personal injuries associated with our products; (x) factors that could impact the future declaration and payment of dividends; and (xi) our inability to compete effectively against competition, as well as those discussed in the section entitled "Risk Factors," set forth in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Given these risks and uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. In addition, the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q speak only as of the date hereof and we undertake no obligation, except as required by law, to update or release any revisions to any forward-looking statement, even if new information becomes available in the future.

Results of Operations

Overview

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, we sold 3,948 and 2,646 units of snow and ice control equipment, respectively. The following table shows our sales of snow and ice control equipment and related parts and accessories as a percentage of net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

| | Three months ended | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| Equipment | 60% | 59% |
| Parts and accessories | 40% | 41% |

The following table sets forth, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the consolidated statements of operations of Douglas Dynamics, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the table below and throughout this "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," consolidated statements of operations data for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 have been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements. The information contained in the table below should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

12

[Table of Contents](#)

Three Months Ended

| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | (unaudited) (in thousands) | |
| Net sales | \$ 23,490 | \$ 14,647 |
| Cost of sales | 14,419 | 12,667 |
| Gross profit | 9,071 | 1,980 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 5,911 | 5,808 |
| Intangibles amortization | 1,300 | 1,540 |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|
| Management fees-related party | 16 | 347 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 1,844 | (5,715) |
| Interest expense, net | (2,204) | (3,715) |
| Other income (expense), net | (115) | 6 |
| Loss before taxes | (475) | (9,424) |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 325 | (3,705) |
| Net loss | \$ (800) | \$ (5,719) |

The following table sets forth for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, the percentage of certain items in our consolidated statement of operations, relative to net sales:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| | <i>(unaudited)</i> | |
| Net sales | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 61.4% | 86.5% |
| Gross profit | 38.6% | 13.5% |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 25.2% | 39.7% |
| Intangibles amortization | 5.5% | 10.5% |
| Management fees-related party | 0.1% | 2.4% |
| Income (loss) from operations | 7.8% | (39.0)% |
| Interest expense, net | (9.4)% | (25.4)% |
| Other income (expense), net | (0.5)% | 0.0% |
| Loss before taxes | (2.1)% | (64.3)% |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 1.4% | (25.3)% |
| Net loss | (3.5)% | (39.1)% |

Net Sales

Net sales were \$23.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$14.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$8.9 million, or 61.0%. This increase was partly due to an increase in parts and accessories sales of \$3.7 million or 59.7%. The remaining increase was due to an increase in unit sales of snow and ice control equipment. During the three months ended March 31, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

and 2010, we sold 3,948 and 2,646 units of snow and ice control equipment, respectively. The increases in both parts and accessories and units was due in part to heavy snowfall in the first quarter of 2011 in the United States.

Cost of Sales

Cost of sales was \$14.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$12.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$1.7 million, or 13.4%. The increases in cost of sales for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010 were driven by increases in volume as discussed above under “—Net Sales”. The effects of the increases in volume were partially offset by lower cost of sales as a percentage of sales of 61.4% for the period ended March 31, 2011 compared to 86.5% for the period ending March 31, 2010. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of sales was in part due to the decreases in non-recurring accelerated depreciation, as the Company incurred costs for the period ended March 31, 2010 totaling \$1.0 million, associated with reassessing the useful lives of the Company’s manufacturing facilities and certain equipment at the Johnson City plant.

Gross Profit

Gross profit was \$9.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$2.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2010, an increase of \$7.1 million, or 355.0%. Gross profit dollars increased for the three month period due to an increase in units sold and lower cost of sales as a percentage of net sales. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit increased from 13.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 38.6% for the corresponding period in 2011.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expenses, including intangibles amortization and management fees, were \$7.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$7.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010, a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 6.5%. This decrease was a result of exit costs related to the Johnson City facility in 2010 of \$0.4 million that did not recur in 2011 and reduced management fees of \$0.0 million and \$0.3 million for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively, slightly offset by increased administrative costs resulting from being a public company in 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to \$3.7 million in the corresponding period in 2010, a decrease of \$1.5 million, or 40.1%. This decrease in interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was due to less interest expense as a result of the redemption of the 7.75% senior notes due January 15, 2012 with proceeds from the IPO in 2010, additional borrowings under the Company’s senior credit facilities and cash on hand.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The largest item affecting deferred taxes is the difference between book and tax amortization of goodwill and other intangibles amortization. The Company estimates that the Company's effective combined federal and state tax rate for 2011 will be approximately 39%. The Company's effective tax rate was (68.4%) and 39.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The effective tax rate (benefit) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was higher than the corresponding quarter 2010 due to adjusting the Company's net deferred tax liabilities to the higher estimated federal tax rate for 2011 compared to the actual rate incurred in 2010.

Net Loss

Net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$0.8 million compared to net loss of \$5.7 million for the corresponding period in 2010, a decrease in net loss of \$4.9 million, or 86.0%. This decrease in net loss was driven by the factors described above. As a percentage of net sales, net loss was (3.5%) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to (39.1%) for the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Adjusted EBITDA

Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$4.1 million compared to (\$1.2) million in the corresponding period in 2010, an increase of \$5.3 million, or 441.7%. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2011 the increase in Adjusted EBITDA is primarily attributable to increased unit sales of snow and ice control equipment and cost savings resulting from the Johnson City closure that occurred in 2010.

Free Cash Flow

Free Cash flow for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$11.5 million compared to (\$5.5) million in the corresponding period in 2010, an increase of \$17.0 million, or 309.1%. The increase in free cash flow is primarily a result of higher cash provided by operating activities of \$16.0 million, as discussed below under Liquidity and Capital Resources. In addition to the increase in cash provided by operating activities, capital expenditures decreased by \$1.0 million.

[Table of Contents](#)

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains financial information calculated other than in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

These non-GAAP measures include:

- Free Cash Flows; and
- Adjusted EBITDA.

These non-GAAP disclosures should not be construed as an alternative to the reported results determined in accordance with GAAP.

Free Cash Flows is a non-GAAP financial measure which we define as net cash provided by operating activities less capital expenditures. Free cash flow should be evaluated in addition to, and not considered a substitute for, other financial measures such as net income and cash flow provided by operations. We believe that free cash flow represents our ability to generate additional cash flow from our business operations.

The following table reconciles net cash provided by operating activities, a GAAP measure, to free cash flow, a non-GAAP measure.

| | Three months ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| (In Thousands) | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 11,765 | \$ (4,243) |
| Acquisition of property and equipment | (267) | (1,240) |
| Free cash flow | \$ 11,498 | \$ (5,483) |

We use, and we believe our investors benefit from the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA in evaluating our operating performance because it provides us and our investors with additional tools to compare our operating performance on a consistent basis by removing the impact of certain items that management believes do not directly reflect our core operations. In addition, we believe that Adjusted EBITDA is useful to investors and other external users of our consolidated financial statements in evaluating our operating performance as compared to that of other companies, because it allows them to measure a company's operating performance without regard to items such as interest expense, taxes, depreciation and amortization, which can vary substantially from company to company depending upon accounting methods and book value of assets and liabilities, capital structure and the method by which assets were acquired. Our management also uses Adjusted EBITDA for planning purposes, including the preparation of our annual operating budget and financial projections. Management also uses Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate our ability to make certain payments, including dividends, in compliance with our senior credit facilities, which is determined based on a calculation of "Consolidated Adjusted EBITDA" that is substantially similar to Adjusted EBITDA.

Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool. As a result, you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for net income, operating income, cash flow from operating activities or any other measure of financial performance or liquidity presented in accordance with GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect our cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;
- Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the interest expense, or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments, on our indebtedness;

[Table of Contents](#)

- Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements;
- Other companies, including other companies in our industry, may calculate Adjusted EBITDA differently than we do, limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure; and

Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect tax obligations whether current or deferred.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net loss, the most comparable GAAP financial measure, to Adjusted EBITDA as well as the resulting calculation of Adjusted EBITDA for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

| | Three months ended | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | March 31, 2010 |
| Net Loss | \$ (800) | \$ (5,719) |
| Interest Expense - Net | 2,204 | 3,715 |
| Income Taxes | 325 | (3,705) |
| Depreciation Expense | 747 | 2,017 |
| Amortization | 1,300 | 1,540 |
| EBITDA | 3,776 | (2,152) |
| Management Fees | 16 | 347 |
| Stock Based Compensation | 265 | - |
| Other non-recurring charges (1) | 4 | 619 |
| Adjusted EBITDA | \$ 4,061 | \$ (1,186) |

(1) Reflects severance and one-time, non-recurring expenses for costs related to the closure of our Johnson City facility of \$440 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and \$4 and \$179 of unrelated legal fees for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

Discussion of Critical Accounting Policies

For a discussion of our critical accounting policies, please see the disclosure included in our Form 10-K (Commission File No. 001-34728) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation — Critical Accounting Policies".

New Accounting Pronouncements

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company did not adopt any new accounting pronouncements that had a significant impact to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of cash have been and we expect will continue to be cash from operations and borrowings under our senior credit facilities. As of March 31, 2011, our senior credit facilities consisted of a \$60 million senior secured revolving credit facility, entered into by our subsidiaries, Douglas Dynamics, L.L.C., Douglas Dynamics Finance Company and Fisher, LLC, as borrowers and a \$125 million senior secured term loan facility, entered into by Douglas Dynamics, L.L.C., as borrower, each on May 21, 2007, and as amended on April 16, 2010 in connection with our IPO. On April 18, 2011, we amended and restated our revolving credit facility and replaced our existing senior secured term loan facility. As a result of these changes, as of April 18, 2011, our senior credit facilities consisted of a \$70 million senior secured revolving credit facility, entered into by our subsidiaries, Douglas Dynamics, L.L.C., Douglas Dynamics Finance Company and Fisher, LLC, as borrowers, and a \$125 million senior secured term loan facility, entered into by Douglas Dynamics, L.L.C., as borrower.

We expect that our primary uses of cash will be to provide working capital, meet debt service requirements, finance capital expenditures, pay dividends under our dividend policy and support our growth, including through potential acquisitions, and for other general corporate purposes. For a description of the seasonality of our working capital rates see "—Seasonality and Year-To-Year Variability."

Our Board of Directors adopted a dividend policy, reflecting an intention to distribute to our stockholders a regular quarterly cash dividend, in equal quarterly installments. The annual rate for the dividend is \$0.80 per share, or \$0.20 per quarter. Our Board of Directors approved a special dividend for the first quarter of 2011 of an additional \$0.37 per share. The declaration and payment of these dividends to holders of our common stock will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors,

[Table of Contents](#)

including our financial condition and earnings, legal requirements, taxes and other factors our Board of Directors may deem to be relevant. The terms of our indebtedness also restricts us from paying cash dividends on our common stock under certain circumstances. As a result of this dividend policy, we may not have significant cash available to meet any large unanticipated liquidity requirements. As a result, we may not retain a sufficient amount of cash to fund our operations or to finance unanticipated capital expenditures or growth opportunities, including acquisitions. Our Board of Directors may, however, amend, revoke or suspend our dividend policy at any time and for any reason.

As of March 31, 2011, we had \$65.4 million of total liquidity, comprised of \$19.5 million in cash and cash equivalents and borrowing availability of \$45.9 million under our revolving credit facility. Borrowing availability under our revolving credit facility is governed by a borrowing base, the calculation of which includes cash on hand. Accordingly, use of cash on hand may also result in a reduction in the amount available for borrowing under our revolving credit facility. Furthermore, our revolving credit facility as amended and restated in April 2011 requires us to maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.0 to 1.0 at any time when the excess availability of the borrowers is less than the greater of \$8.8 million and 12.5% of the revolving commitments. We expect that cash on hand, we generated from operations, as well as available credit under our senior credit facilities will provide adequate funds for the purposes described above for at least the next 12 months.

The following table shows our cash and cash equivalents and inventories in thousands at March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and March 31, 2010.

| | As of | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 | March 31, 2010 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 19,534 | \$ 20,149 | \$ 69,377 |
| Inventories | 40,129 | 23,481 | 39,271 |

We had cash and cash equivalents of \$19.5 million at March 31, 2011 compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$20.1 million and \$69.4 million at December 31, 2010 and March 31, 2010, respectively. The table below sets forth a summary of the significant sources and uses of cash for the periods presented in thousands.

| Cash Flows (in thousands) | Three months ended March 31, | | Change | % Change |
|---|------------------------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 11,765 | \$ (4,243) | \$ 16,008 | (377.3)% |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (220) | (1,240) | 1,020 | (82.3)% |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (12,160) | 5,787 | (17,947) | (310.1)% |
| Increase (Decrease) in cash | \$ (615) | \$ 304 | \$ (919) | (302.3)% |

Net cash provided by (used) in operating activities increased \$16.0 million from the three months ended March 31, 2010 to the three months ended March 31, 2011. This increase in cash provided by operating activities was driven mainly by working capital changes and higher net income because of higher unit sales in the first quarter ended March 31, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010. In addition to working capital changes, accrued interest decreased \$2.4 million providing additional cash from operating activities.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to the corresponding period in 2010. This decrease was due to higher capital expenditures in 2010 to accommodate the increased production demands in Milwaukee and Rockland because of the closure of our Johnson City, TN manufacturing plant.

Net cash provided (used) in financing activities decreased \$17.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011 compared to the corresponding period in 2010, primarily as a result of the impact of paying a dividend of \$12.5 million in the three month period ended March 31, 2011 while no dividend was paid in the corresponding period in 2010. Additionally, in the three-month period ended March 31, 2011 there were no borrowings against the revolver while in the corresponding period of 2010 the Company had \$6.0 million in outstanding borrowings against the revolver.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes to our contractual obligations in the three months ended March 31, 2011. However, on April 18, 2011, we entered into a new senior secured credit facility, comprised of an amended revolving credit facility and a new term loan. See note 15 to the notes to our financial statements.

17

[Table of Contents](#)

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not party to any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues, expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Seasonality and Year-to-Year Variability

Our business is seasonal and also varies from year-to-year. Consequently, our results of operations and financial condition vary from quarter-to-quarter and from year-to-year as well. In addition, because of this seasonality and variability, our results of operations for any quarter may not be indicative of results of operations that may be achieved for a subsequent quarter or the full year, and may not be similar to results of operations experienced in prior years. That being the case, while snowfall levels vary within a given year and from year-to-year, snowfall, and the corresponding replacement cycle of snow and ice control equipment, is relatively consistent over multi-year periods.

Sales of our products are significantly impacted by the level, timing and location of snowfall, with sales in any given year and region most heavily influenced by snowfall levels in the prior snow season (which we consider to begin in October and end in March) in that region. This is due to the fact that end-user demand for our products is driven primarily by the condition of their snow and ice control equipment, and in the case of professional snowplowers, by their financial ability to purchase new or replacement snow and ice control equipment, both of which are significantly affected by snowfall levels. Heavy snowfall during a given winter causes usage of our products to increase, resulting in greater wear and tear to our products and a shortening of their life cycles, thereby creating a need for replacement snow and ice control equipment and related parts and accessories. In addition, when there is a heavy snowfall in a given winter, the increased income our professional snowplowers generate from their professional snowplow activities provides them with increased purchasing power to purchase replacement snow and ice control equipment prior to the following winter. To a lesser extent, sales of our products are influenced by the timing of snowfall in a given winter. Because an early snowfall can be viewed as a sign of a heavy upcoming snow season, our end-users may respond to an early snowfall by purchasing replacement snow and ice control equipment during the current season rather than delaying purchases until after the season is over when most purchases are typically made by end-users.

We attempt to manage the seasonal impact of snowfall on our revenues in part through our pre-season sales program, which involves actively soliciting and encouraging pre-season distributor orders in the second and third quarters by offering our distributors a combination of pricing, payment and freight incentives during this period. These pre-season sales incentives encourage our distributors to re-stock their inventory during the second and third quarters in anticipation of the peak fourth quarter retail sales period by offering pre-season pricing and payment deferral until the fourth quarter. As a result, we tend to generate our greatest volume of sales (an average of over two-thirds over the last ten years) during the second and third quarters, providing us with manufacturing visibility for the remainder of the year. By contrast, our revenue and operating results tend to be lowest during the first quarter, as management believes our end-users prefer to wait until the beginning of a snow season to purchase new equipment and as our distributors sell off inventory and wait for our pre-season sales incentive period to re-stock inventory. Fourth quarter sales vary from year-to-year as they are primarily driven by the level, timing and location of snowfall during the quarter. This is because most of our fourth quarter sales and shipments consist of re-orders by distributors seeking to restock inventory to meet immediate customer needs caused by snowfall during the winter months.

Because of the seasonality of our sales, we experience seasonality in our working capital needs as well. In the first quarter, we typically require capital as we are generally required to build our inventory in anticipation of our second and third quarter pre-season sales. During the second and third quarters, our working capital requirements rise as our accounts receivable increase as a result of the sale and shipment of products ordered through our pre-season sales program and we continue to build inventory. Working capital requirements peak towards the end of the third quarter and then begin to decline through the fourth quarter through a reduction in accounts receivable when we receive the majority of the payments for pre-season shipped products.

We also attempt to manage the impact of seasonality and year-to-year variability on our business costs through the effective management of our assets. Our asset management and profit focus strategies include:

- the employment of a highly variable cost structure facilitated by a core group of workers that we supplement with a temporary workforce as sales volumes dictate, which allows us to adjust costs on an as-needed basis in response to changing demand;
- our enterprise-wide lean concept, which allows us to adjust production levels up or down to meet demand;
- the pre-season order program described above, which incentivizes distributors to place orders prior to the retail selling season; and

18

[Table of Contents](#)

· a vertically integrated business model.

These asset management and profit focus strategies, among other management tools, allow us to adjust fixed overhead and sales, general and administrative expenditures to account for the year-to-year variability of our sales volumes.

Additionally, although modest, our annual capital expenditure requirements can be temporarily reduced by up to approximately 40% in response to actual or anticipated decreases in sales volumes. If we are unsuccessful in our asset management initiatives, the seasonality and year-to-year variability effects on our business may be compounded and in turn our results of operations and financial condition may suffer.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We do not use financial instruments for speculative trading purposes, and do not hold any derivative financial instruments that could expose us to significant market risk. Our primary market risk exposures are changes in interest rates and steel price fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk primarily from changes in interest rates. Our borrowings, including our term loan and any revolving borrowings under our senior credit facilities (both before and after the April 2011 changes to the credit facilities described in Note 15, Subsequent Events, to the financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q), are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. Prior to the April 2011 changes to the credit facilities, the interest rate on any revolving borrowings was subject to an increase in the interest rate based on our average daily availability under the revolving credit facility. If the average daily excess availability on our revolving credit facility were to fall below \$25 million, our interest rate on the revolving credit facility would have increased by 0.25%. The maximum impact this would have had on our interest expense would have been \$150,000 per year. Under the senior credit facilities following the April 2011 changes, this provision no longer applies. Under the facilities, however, if interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on our variable rate indebtedness would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income and cash flows would correspondingly decrease. Commencing July 17, 2011, our senior credit facilities will require us to maintain in effect at all times one or more interest rate hedging agreements so that, at all times, interest on at least 25% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the loans under the term loan facility is either fixed rate or covered by such agreements.

As of March 31, 2011, we had outstanding borrowings under our term loan of \$120.9 million. A hypothetical interest rate change of 1%, 1.5% and 2% on our term loan would have changed interest incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2011 by \$0.0 million, \$0.0 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. As of March 31, 2011, we had no outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility. A hypothetical interest rate change of 1%, 1.5% and 2% on our revolving credit facility would not have changed interest incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Commodity Price Risk

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to market risk related to our purchase of steel, the primary commodity upon which our manufacturing depends. Our steel purchases as a percentage of revenue were 33.2% and 34.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. While steel is typically available from numerous suppliers, the price of steel is a commodity subject to fluctuations that apply across broad spectrums of the steel market. We do not use any derivative or hedging instruments to manage steel price risk. If the price of steel increases, our variable costs could also increase. While historically we have successfully mitigated these increased costs through the implementation of either permanent price increases and/or temporary invoice surcharges, in the future we may not be able to successfully mitigate these costs, which could cause our gross margins to decline. If our costs for steel were to increase by \$1.00 in a period where we are not able to pass any of this increase onto our distributors, our gross margins would decline by \$1.00 in the period in which such inventory was sold.

Item 4. Controls And Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and include controls and procedures designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in such reports is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

[Table of Contents](#)

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

[Table of Contents](#)

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, we are engaged in various litigation primarily including product liability and intellectual property disputes. However, management does not believe that any current litigation is material to our operations or financial position. In addition, we are not currently party to any environmental-related claims or legal matters.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company operates in an environment that involves numerous known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks, as well as other risks not currently known to us or that we currently consider immaterial. The risks described below highlight some of the factors that have affected, and in the future could affect our operations.

Our results of operations depend primarily on the level, timing and location of snowfall. As a result, a decline in snowfall levels in multiple regions for an extended time could cause our results of operations to decline and adversely affect our ability to pay dividends.

As a manufacturer of snow and ice control equipment for light trucks, and related parts and accessories, our sales depend primarily on the level, timing and location of snowfall in the regions in which we offer our products. A low level or lack of snowfall in any given year in any of the snowbelt regions in North America (primarily the Midwest, East and Northeast regions of the United States as well as all provinces of Canada) will likely cause sales of our products to decline in such year as well as the subsequent year, which in turn may adversely affect our results of operations and ability to pay dividends. See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Seasonality and Year-to-Year Variability.” A sustained period of reduced snowfall events in one or more of the geographic regions in which we offer our products could cause our results of operations to decline and adversely affect our ability to pay dividends.

The year-to-year variability of our business can cause our results of operations and financial condition to be materially different from year-to-year; whereas the seasonality of our business can cause our results of operations and financial condition to be materially different from quarter-to-quarter.

Because our business depends on the level, timing and location of snowfall, our results of operations vary from year-to-year. Additionally, because the annual snow season typically only runs from October 1 through March 31, our distributors typically purchase our products during the second and third quarters. As a result, we operate in a seasonal business. We not only experience seasonality in our sales, but also experience seasonality in our working capital needs. Consequently, our results of operations and financial condition can vary from year-to-year, as well as from quarter-to-quarter, which could affect our ability to pay dividends. If we are unable to effectively manage the seasonality and year-to-year variability of our business, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends may suffer.

If economic conditions in the United States continue to remain weak or deteriorate further, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected.

Historically, demand for snow and ice control equipment for light trucks has been influenced by general economic conditions in the United States, as well as local economic conditions in the snowbelt regions in North America. During the last few years, economic conditions throughout the United States have been extremely weak. Weakened economic conditions may cause our end-users to delay purchases of replacement snow and ice control equipment and instead repair their existing equipment, leading to a decrease in our sales of new equipment. Weakened economic conditions may also cause our end-users to delay their purchases of new light trucks. Because our end-users tend to purchase new snow and ice control equipment concurrent with their purchase of new light trucks, their delay in purchasing new light trucks can also result in the deferral of their purchases of new snow and ice control equipment. The deferral of new equipment purchases during periods of weak economic conditions may negatively affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Weakened economic conditions may also cause our end-users to consider price more carefully in selecting new snow and ice control equipment. Historically, considerations of quality and service have outweighed considerations of price, but in a weak economy, price may become a more important factor. Any refocus away from quality in favor of cheaper equipment could cause end-users to shift away from our products to less expensive competitor products, or to shift away from our more profitable products to our less profitable products, which in turn would adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to pay dividends.

[Table of Contents](#)

Our failure to maintain good relationships with our distributors, the loss or consolidation of our distributor base or the actions or inactions of our distributors could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and our ability to pay dividends.

We depend on a network of truck equipment distributors to sell, install and service our products. Nearly all of these sales and service relationships are at will, and less than 1% of our distributors have agreed not to offer products that compete with our products. As a result, almost all of our distributors could discontinue the sale and service of our products at any time, and those distributors that primarily sell our products may choose to sell competing products at any time. Further, difficult economic or other circumstances could cause any of our distributors to discontinue their businesses. Moreover, if our distributor base were to consolidate or if any of our distributors were to discontinue their business, competition for the business of fewer distributors would intensify. If we do not maintain good relationships with our distributors, or if we do not provide product offerings and pricing that meet the needs of our distributors, we could lose a substantial amount of our distributor base. A loss of a substantial portion of our distributor base could cause our sales to decline significantly, which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

In addition, our distributors may not provide timely or adequate service to our end-users. If this occurs, our brand identity and reputation may be damaged, which would have an adverse effect on our results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

Lack of available financing options for our end-users or distributors may adversely affect our sales volumes.

Our end-user base is highly concentrated among professional snowplowers, who comprise over 50% of our end-users, many of whom are individual landscapers who remove snow during the winter and landscape during the rest of the year, rather than large, well-capitalized corporations. These end-users often depend upon credit to purchase our products. If credit is unavailable on favorable terms or at all, our end-users may not be able to purchase our products from our distributors, which would in turn reduce sales and adversely affect our results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

In addition, because our distributors, like our end-users, rely on credit to purchase our products, if our distributors are not able to obtain credit, or access credit on favorable terms, we may experience delays in payment or nonpayment for delivered products. Further, if our distributors are unable to obtain credit or access credit on favorable terms, they could experience financial difficulties or bankruptcy and cease purchases of our products altogether. Thus, if financing is unavailable on favorable terms or at all, our results of operations and ability to pay dividends would be adversely affected.

If petroleum prices increase, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Petroleum prices have fluctuated significantly in recent years. Prices and availability of petroleum products are subject to political, economic and market factors that are outside our control. Political events in petroleum-producing regions as well as hurricanes and other weather-related events may cause the price of fuel to increase. If the price of fuel increases, the demand for our products may decline, which would adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The price of steel, a commodity necessary to manufacture our products, is highly variable. If the price of steel increases, our gross margins could decline.

Steel is a significant raw material used to manufacture our products. During 2008, 2009 and 2010, our steel purchases were approximately 15%, 18% and 13% of our revenue, respectively. The steel industry is highly cyclical in nature, and steel prices have been volatile in recent years and may remain volatile in the future. Steel prices are influenced by numerous factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions domestically and internationally, the availability of raw materials, competition, labor costs, freight and transportation costs, production costs, import duties and other trade restrictions. Steel prices are volatile and may increase as a result of increased demand from the automobile and consumer durable sectors. If the price of steel increases, our variable costs may increase. We may not be able to mitigate these increased costs through the implementation of permanent price increases or temporary invoice surcharges, especially if economic conditions remain weak and our distributors and end-

users become more price sensitive. If we are unable to successfully mitigate such cost increases in the future, our gross margins could decline.

We depend on outside suppliers who may be unable to meet our volume and quality requirements, and we may be unable to obtain alternative sources.

We purchase certain components essential to our snowplows and sand and salt spreaders from outside suppliers, including off-shore sources. Most of our key supply arrangements can be discontinued at any time and are not covered by written contracts. A supplier may encounter delays in the production and delivery of such products and components or may supply us with products and components that do not meet our quality, quantity or cost requirements. Additionally, a supplier may be forced to discontinue operations. Any discontinuation or interruption in the availability of quality products and components from one or more of our suppliers may result in increased production costs, delays in the delivery of our products and lost end-user sales, which could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

In addition, we have begun to increase the number of our off-shore suppliers. Our increased reliance on off-shore sourcing may cause our business to be more susceptible to the impact of natural disasters, war and other factors that may disrupt the transportation systems or shipping lines used by our suppliers, a weakening of the dollar over an extended period of time and other uncontrollable factors such as changes in foreign regulation or economic conditions. In addition, reliance on off-shore suppliers may make it more difficult for us to respond to sudden changes in demand because of the longer lead time to obtain components from off-

[Table of Contents](#)

shore sources. We may be unable to mitigate this risk by stocking sufficient materials to satisfy any sudden or prolonged surges in demand for our products. If we cannot satisfy demand for our products in a timely manner, our sales could suffer as distributors can cancel purchase orders without penalty until shipment.

We do not sell our products under long-term purchase contracts, and sales of our products are significantly impacted by factors outside of our control; therefore, our ability to estimate demand is limited.

We do not enter into long-term purchase contracts with our distributors and the purchase orders we receive may be cancelled without penalty until shipment. Therefore, our ability to accurately predict future demand for our products is limited. Nonetheless, we attempt to estimate demand for our products for purposes of planning our annual production levels and our long-term product development and new product introductions. We base our estimates of demand on our own market assessment, snowfall figures, quarterly field inventory surveys and regular communications with our distributors. Because wide fluctuations in the level, timing and location of snowfall, economic conditions and other factors may occur, each of which is out of our control, our estimates of demand may not be accurate. Underestimating demand could result in procuring an insufficient amount of materials necessary for the production of our products, which may result in increased production costs, delays in product delivery, missed sale opportunities and a decrease in customer satisfaction. Overestimating demand could result in the procurement of excessive supplies, which could result in increased inventory and associated carrying costs.

If we are unable to enforce, maintain or continue to build our intellectual property portfolio, or if others invalidate our intellectual property rights, our competitive position may be harmed.

We rely on a combination of patents, trade secrets and trademarks to protect certain of the proprietary aspects of our business and technology. We hold approximately 20 U.S. registered trademarks (including the trademarks WESTERN®, FISHER® and BLIZZARD®), 5 Canadian registered trademarks, 28 U.S. issued patents and 15 Canadian patents. Although we work diligently to protect our intellectual property rights, monitoring the unauthorized use of our intellectual property is difficult, and the steps we have taken may not prevent unauthorized use by others. We believe that our trademarks are of great value and that the loss of any one or all of our trademark rights could lower sales and increase our costs. In addition, in the event a third party challenges the validity of our intellectual property rights, a court may determine that our intellectual property rights may not be valid or enforceable. An adverse determination with respect to our intellectual property rights may harm our business prospects and reputation. Third parties may design around our patents or may independently develop technology similar to our trade secrets. The failure to adequately build, maintain and enforce our intellectual property portfolio could impair the strength of our technology and our brands, and harm our competitive position. Although the Company has no reason to believe that its intellectual property rights are vulnerable, previously undiscovered intellectual property could be used to invalidate our rights.

If we are unable to develop new products or improve upon our existing products on a timely basis, it could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We believe that our future success depends, in part, on our ability to develop on a timely basis new technologically advanced products or improve upon our existing products in innovative ways that meet or exceed our competitors' product offerings. Continuous product innovation ensures that our consumers have access to the latest products and features when they consider buying snow and ice control equipment. Maintaining our market position will require us to continue to invest in research and development and sales and marketing. Product development requires significant financial, technological and other resources. We may be unsuccessful in making the technological advances necessary to develop new products or improve our existing products to maintain our market position. Industry standards, end-user expectations or other products may emerge that could render one or more of our products less desirable or obsolete. If any of these events occur, it could cause decreases in sales, a failure to realize premium pricing and an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

We face competition from other companies in our industry, and if we are unable to compete effectively with these companies, it could have an adverse effect on our sales and profitability. Price competition among our distributors could negatively affect our market share.

We primarily compete with regional manufacturers of snow and ice control equipment for light trucks. While we are the most geographically diverse company in our industry, we may face increasing competition in the markets in which we operate. In saturated markets, price competition may lead to a decrease in our market share or a compression of our margins, both of which would affect our profitability. Moreover, current or future competitors may grow their market share and develop superior service and may have or may develop greater financial resources, lower costs, superior technology or more favorable operating conditions than we maintain. As a result, competitive pressures we face may cause price reductions for our products, which would affect our profitability or result in decreased sales and operating income. Additionally, saturation of the markets in which we compete or channel conflicts among our brands and shifts in consumer preferences may increase these competitive pressures or may result in increased competition among our distributors and affect our sales and profitability. In addition, price competition among the distributors that sell our products could lead

[Table of Contents](#)

to significant margin erosion among our distributors, which could in turn result in compressed margins or loss of market share for us. Management believes that after Douglas Dynamics, the next largest competitors in the market for snow and ice control equipment for light trucks are BOSS and Meyer, respectively, and accordingly represent our primary competitors for market share.

We are subject to complex laws and regulations, including environmental and safety regulations, that can adversely affect the cost, manner or feasibility of doing business.

Our operations are subject to certain federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to, among other things, the generation, storage, handling, emission, transportation, disposal and discharge of hazardous and non-hazardous substances and materials into the environment, the manufacturing of motor vehicle accessories and

employee health and safety. We cannot be certain that existing and future laws and regulations and their interpretations will not harm our business or financial condition. We currently make and may be required to make large and unanticipated capital expenditures to comply with environmental and other regulations, such as:

- applicable motor vehicle safety standards established by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration;
- reclamation and remediation and other environmental protection; and
- standards for workplace safety established by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

While we monitor our compliance with applicable laws and regulations and attempt to budget for anticipated costs associated with compliance, we cannot predict the future cost of such compliance. While in 2010 the amount we expended related to compliance with such regulations was insignificant we could incur material expenses in the future in the event of future legislation changes or unforeseen events, such as a workplace accident or environmental discharge, or if we otherwise discover we are in non-compliance with an applicable regulation. In addition, under these laws and regulations, we could be liable for:

- product liability claims;
- personal injuries;
- investigation and remediation of environmental contamination and other governmental sanctions such as fines and penalties; and
- other environmental damages.

Our operations could be significantly delayed or curtailed and our costs of operations could significantly increase as a result of regulatory requirements, restrictions or claims. We are unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these requirements or their effect on our operations.

Financial market conditions have had a negative impact on the return on plan assets for our pension plans, which may require additional funding and negatively impact our cash flows.

Our pension expense and required contributions to our pension plans are directly affected by the value of plan assets, the projected rate of return on plan assets, the actual rate of return on plan assets and the actuarial assumptions we use to measure the defined benefit pension plan obligations. As of December 31, 2010, our pension plans were underfunded by approximately \$10.8 million. In 2010, contributions to our defined benefit pension plans were approximately \$0.9 million. If plan assets continue to perform below expectations, future pension expense and funding obligations will increase, which would have a negative impact on our cash flows. Moreover, under the Pension Protection Act of 2006, it is possible that continued losses of asset values may necessitate accelerated funding of our pension plans in the future to meet minimum federal government requirements.

The statements regarding our industry, market positions and market share in this report are based on our management's estimates and assumptions. While we believe such statements are reasonable, such statements have not been independently verified.

Information contained in this report concerning the snow and ice control equipment industry for light trucks, our general expectations concerning this industry and our market positions and other market share data regarding the industry are based on estimates our management prepared using end-user surveys, anecdotal data from our distributors and distributors that carry our competitors' products, our results of operations and management's past experience, and on assumptions made, based on our management's knowledge of this industry, all of which we believe to be reasonable. These estimates and assumptions are inherently subject to uncertainties, especially given the year-to-year variability of snowfall and the difficulty of obtaining precise information about our competitors, and may prove to be inaccurate. In addition, we have not independently verified the information from any third-party source and thus cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness, although management also believes such information to be reasonable. Our actual operating results may vary significantly if our estimates and outlook concerning the industry, snowfall patterns,

[Table of Contents](#)

our market positions or our market shares turn out to be incorrect.

We are subject to product liability claims, product quality issues, and other litigation from time to time that could adversely affect our operating results or financial condition.

The manufacture, sale and usage of our products expose us to the risk of product liability claims. If our products are defective or used incorrectly by our end-users, injury may result, giving rise to product liability claims against us. If a product liability claim or series of claims is brought against us for uninsured liabilities or in excess of our insurance coverage, and it is ultimately determined that we are liable, our business and financial condition could suffer. Any losses that we may suffer from any liability claims, and the effect that any product liability litigation may have upon the reputation and marketability of our products, may divert management's attention from other matters and may have a negative impact on our business and operating results. Additionally, we could experience a material design or manufacturing failure in our products, a quality system failure or other safety issues, or heightened regulatory scrutiny that could warrant a recall of some of our products. A recall of some of our products could also result in increased product liability claims. Any of these issues could also result in loss of market share, reduced sales, and higher warranty expense.

We are heavily dependent on our Chief Executive Officer and management team.

Our continued success depends on the retention, recruitment and continued contributions of key management, finance, sales and marketing personnel, some of whom could be difficult to replace. Our success is largely dependent upon our senior management team, led by our Chief Executive Officer and other key managers. The loss of any one or more of such persons could have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our operations, including our ability to perform our obligations and pay dividends.

As of March 31, 2011 we had approximately \$120.9 million of senior secured indebtedness and \$45.9 million of borrowing availability under our revolving credit facility. We completed a refinancing transaction in April 2011, and, as a result, as of April 18, 2011, we had approximately \$125.0 million of senior secured indebtedness and \$70.0 million of borrowing availability under our amended revolving credit facility. We may also be able to incur substantial indebtedness in the future, including senior indebtedness, which may or may not be secured. For example, our amended revolving credit facility allows Douglas Holdings' wholly-owned subsidiaries, Douglas Dynamics, L.L.C. ("DDI LLC"), Douglas Dynamics Finance Company ("DDI Finance") and Fisher, LLC ("Fisher") to request the establishment of one or more additional revolving commitments in an aggregate amount not in excess of \$40 million and our new term loan facility allows DDI LLC to request the establishment of one or more additional term loan commitments in an aggregate amount not in excess of \$60 million, in each case, subject to specified terms and conditions.

Our indebtedness could have important consequences to our stockholders, including the following:

- we could have difficulty satisfying our debt obligations, and if we fail to comply with these requirements, an event of default could result;
- we may be required to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to required payments on indebtedness, thereby reducing the cash flow available to pay dividends or fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate activities;
- covenants relating to our indebtedness may restrict our ability to make dividends or distributions to our stockholders;
- covenants relating to our indebtedness may limit our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate activities, which may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- we may be more vulnerable to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

- we may be placed at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors with less debt; and
- we may have difficulty repaying or refinancing our obligations under our senior credit facilities on their respective maturity dates.

If any of these consequences occur, our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends could be adversely affected. This, in turn, could negatively affect the market price of our common stock, and we may need to undertake alternative financing plans, such as refinancing or restructuring our debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or seeking to raise additional capital. We cannot assure you that any refinancing would be possible, that any assets could be sold, or, if sold, of the timing of the sales and the amount of proceeds that may be realized from those sales, or that additional financing could be obtained on acceptable terms, if at all.

Our variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly and could impose adverse consequences.

Certain of our borrowings, including our term loan and any revolving borrowings under our senior credit facilities, are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk.

[Table of Contents](#)

If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income and cash flows would correspondingly decrease. Commencing July 17, 2011, our senior credit facilities will require us to maintain in effect at all times one or more interest rate hedging agreements so that, at all times, interest on at least 25% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the loans under the term loan facility is either fixed rate or covered by such agreements.

Our senior credit facilities impose restrictions on us, which may also prevent us from capitalizing on business opportunities and taking certain corporate actions. One of these facilities also includes minimum availability requirements, which if unsatisfied, could result in liquidity events that may jeopardize our business.

Our senior credit facilities contain, and future debt instruments to which we may become subject may contain, covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that could otherwise benefit our company. Under the credit facilities as modified in April 2011, these covenants include restrictions on our ability to:

- incur, assume or permit to exist additional indebtedness or contingent obligations;
- incur liens and engage in sale and leaseback transactions;
- make loans and investments in excess of agreed upon amounts;
- declare dividends, make payments or redeem or repurchase capital stock in excess of agreed upon amounts and subject to certain other limitations;
- engage in mergers, acquisitions and other business combinations;
- prepay, redeem or purchase certain indebtedness or amend or alter the terms of our indebtedness;
- sell assets;
- make further negative pledges;
- create restrictions on distributions by subsidiaries;
- change our fiscal year;
- engage in activities other than, among other things, incurring the debt under our new senior credit facilities and the activities related thereto, holding our ownership interest in DDI LLC, making restricted payments, including dividends, permitted by our new senior credit facilities and conducting activities related to our status as a public company;
- amend or waive rights under certain agreements;
- transact with affiliates or our stockholders; and
- alter the business that we conduct.

Our amended revolving credit facility also includes limitations on capital expenditures and requires that if we fail to maintain the greater of \$8,750,000 and 12.5% of the revolving commitments in borrowing availability, we must comply with a fixed charge coverage ratio test. In addition, if a liquidity event occurs because our borrowing availability is less than the greater of \$10,500,000 and 15% of the aggregate revolving commitments (or an event of default occurs and is continuing), subject to certain limited cure rights, all proceeds of our accounts receivable and other collateral will be applied to reduce obligations under our amended revolving credit facility, jeopardizing our ability to meet other obligations. Our ability to comply with the covenants contained in our senior credit facilities or in the agreements governing our future indebtedness, and our ability to avoid liquidity events, may be affected by events, or our future performance, which are subject to factors beyond our control, including prevailing economic, financial, industry and weather conditions, such as the level, timing and location of snowfall and general economic conditions in the snowbelt regions of North America. A failure to comply with these covenants could result in a default under our senior credit facilities, which could prevent us from paying dividends, borrowing additional amounts and using proceeds of our inventory and accounts receivable, and also permit the lenders to accelerate the payment of such debt. If any of our debt is accelerated or if a liquidity event (or event of default) occurs that results in collateral proceeds being applied to reduce such debt, we may not have sufficient funds available to repay such debt and our other obligations, in which case, our business could be halted and such lenders could proceed against any collateral securing that debt. Further, if the lenders accelerate the payment of the indebtedness under our senior credit facilities, our assets may not be sufficient to repay in full the indebtedness under our senior credit facilities and our other indebtedness, if any. We cannot assure you that these covenants will not adversely affect our ability to finance our future operations or capital needs to pursue available business opportunities or react to changes in our business and the industry in which we operate.

Our principal stockholders hold a significant portion of our common stock and may have different interests than us or you in the future.

As of December 31, 2010 our principal stockholders had the right to vote or direct the vote of approximately 46% of our voting power. Consequently, our principal stockholders will for the foreseeable future be able to influence the election and removal of our directors and influence our corporate and management policies, including virtually all matters requiring stockholder approval, such as potential mergers or acquisitions, asset sales and other significant corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may delay or deter possible changes in control of the Company. We cannot assure you that the interests of our principal stockholders will coincide with the interests of our other holders of common stock.

Provisions of Delaware law and our charter documents could delay or prevent an acquisition of us, even if the acquisition would be beneficial to you.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or

[Table of Contents](#)

changes in our management. These provisions include:

- the absence of cumulative voting in the election of our directors, which means that the holders of a majority of our common stock may elect all of the directors standing for election;
- the ability of our Board of Directors to issue preferred stock with voting rights or with rights senior to those of our common stock without any further vote or

- action by the holders of our common stock;
- the division of our Board of Directors into three separate classes serving staggered three-year terms;
- the ability of our stockholders to remove our directors is limited to cause and only by the vote of at least 66²/₃% of the outstanding shares of our common stock;
- the prohibition on our stockholders from acting by written consent and calling special meetings;
- the requirement that our stockholders provide advance notice when nominating our directors or proposing business to be considered by the stockholders at an annual meeting of stockholders; and
- the requirement that our stockholders must obtain a 66²/₃% vote to amend or repeal certain provisions of our certificate of incorporation.

We are also subject to Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which, subject to certain exceptions, prohibits us from engaging in any business combination with any interested stockholder, as defined in that section, for a period of three years following the date on which that stockholder became an interested stockholder. This provision, together with the provisions discussed above, could also make it more difficult for you and our other stockholders to elect directors and take other corporate actions, and could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

If we are unable to assess favorably the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide an unqualified attestation report on our internal controls, our stock price could be adversely affected.

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related rules adopted by the SEC and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, beginning with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2011, our management will be required to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. We may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any changes necessary to make a favorable assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. In addition, in connection with the attestation process by our independent registered public accounting firm, we may encounter problems or delays in completing the implementation of any requested improvements and receiving a favorable attestation. If we cannot timely and favorably assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide an unqualified attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting, investor confidence and our stock price could decline.

Our dividend policy may limit our ability to pursue growth opportunities.

If we pay dividends at the level currently anticipated under our dividend policy, we may not retain a sufficient amount of cash to finance growth opportunities, meet any large unanticipated liquidity requirements or fund our operations in the event of a significant business downturn. In addition, because a significant portion of cash available will be distributed to holders of our common stock under our dividend policy, our ability to pursue any material expansion of our business, including through acquisitions, increased capital spending or other increases of our expenditures, will depend more than it otherwise would on our ability to obtain third party financing. We cannot assure you that such financing will be available to us at all, or at an acceptable cost. If we are unable to take timely advantage of growth opportunities, our future financial condition and competitive position may be harmed, which in turn may adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

From March 14 to March 18, 2011, certain of our executive officers and directors exercised stock options granted pursuant to the Douglas Dynamics, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan to purchase an aggregate of 142,699 shares of common stock for an aggregate exercise price of \$600,762.80. The exercise price of the stock options was \$4.21 per share. Of the 142,699 stock options exercised, 27,117 were exercised using broker assisted cashless exercises, and the exercise price for the other 115,582 stock options was paid in cash.

The sales of the above securities were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") in reliance on Rule 701 under the Securities Act.

Other than the sales previously noted in this section, we sold no securities that were not registered under the Securities Act during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Dividend Payment Restrictions

The Company's senior credit facilities include certain restrictions on its ability to pay dividends. The senior credit facilities also restrict the Company's subsidiaries from paying dividends and otherwise transferring assets to Douglas Dynamics, Inc. For additional detail regarding these restrictions, see Note 5 to the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

27

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Item 5. Other Information

None.

28

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6. Exhibits

The following documents are filed as Exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q:

| Exhibit Numbers | Description |
|------------------------|---|
| 10.1* | Douglas Dynamics, Inc. 2011 Annual Incentive Plan |

- 31.1* Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* Filed herewith.

[Table of Contents](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DOUGLAS DYNAMICS, INC.

By: /s/ ROBERT MCCORMICK
Robert McCormick
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Authorized Signatory)

Dated: May 9, 2011

[Table of Contents](#)

Exhibit Index to Form 10-Q for the Period Ended March 31, 2011

| Exhibit Numbers | Description |
|------------------------|---|
| 10.1* | Douglas Dynamics, Inc. 2011 Annual Incentive Plan |
| 31.1* | Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of the Company's Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Filed herewith.

Douglas Dynamics, Inc.
2011 Annual Incentive Plan

1) The Douglas Dynamics, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan ("Plan") is designed to provide an opportunity for the President and the other executive officers of the Company selected to participate in the Plan (collectively, the "Participants") to earn a cash bonus upon the achievement of the performance targets established under the Plan.

a) The performance metrics and weightings used under the Plan for 2011 will be (provided that the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee") has the authority to change the performance metrics and/or weightings used under the Plan for subsequent years):

- i) Operating Income - 70% weighting
- ii) Free Cash Flow ("FCF") - 30% weighting

b) Target Bonus:

- i) President - 100% of annual base salary
- ii) Other Participants - 75% of annual base salary

c) Plan will be subject to overall maximum bonus:

- i) President - 150% of annual base salary
- ii) Other Participants - 125% of annual base salary

Notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary, the Committee has the authority to change the target and maximum bonuses under the Plan for subsequent years.

2) Operating Income

a) For purposes of the Plan, Operating Income is defined as adjusted EBITDA less depreciation, plus other expense, adjusted for non recurring expenses, as approved by the Committee

b) Targeted Operating Income will be set annually by the Committee

c) Performance is measured by the degree to which Operating Income achieves, exceeds, or falls short of, Targeted Operating Income

d) Achievement of Targeted Operating Income will result in a bonus as a % of annual base salary equal to the Target Bonus multiplied by the weighting of the Operating Income metric. For 2011 this would result in a bonus of:

- i) President - 70% of annual base salary (100% target x 70% weighting)
- ii) Other Participants - 52.5% of annual base salary (75% target x 70% weighting)

e) If Operating Income falls below 70% of Targeted Operating Income, no Operating Income bonus will be earned

f) If Operating Income falls between 70% and 100% of Targeted Operating Income, bonus earned will be reduced linearly from the amount determined under Section 2(d) for each 1 % reduction in Operating Income below Targeted Operating Income. For 2011, the reduction would be as follows for each 1% reduction in Operating Income below Targeted Operating Income:

- i) 2.33% for President
- ii) 1.75% for Other Participants

g) If Operating Income exceeds Targeted Operating Income, the Operating Income bonus earned will be increased linearly for each 1% increase in Operating Income above Targeted Operating Income by 1.4% (for both President and other Participants)

3) Free Cash Flow (FCF)

a) For purposes of the Plan, FCF is defined as cash generated by operating activities, less net cash used in investing activities, adjusted for non recurring items, as approved by the Committee

b) Targeted FCF will be set annually by the Committee

c) Performance is measured by the degree to which FCF achieves, exceeds, or falls short of, Targeted FCF

d) Achievement of Targeted FCF will result in a bonus as a % of annual base salary equal to the Target Bonus multiplied by the weighting of the FCF metric. For 2011 this would result in a bonus of:

- i) President - 30.0% of annual base salary (100% target x 30% weighting)
- ii) Other Participants - 22.5% of annual base salary (75% target x 30% weighting)

e) If FCF falls below the amount required to meet the Company's stated annual dividend, then no FCF bonus will be earned (and no annual incentive bonus will be paid at all under the Plan)

f) If FCF falls between the amount required to meet the Company's stated annual dividend and 100% of Targeted FCF, then the bonus earned will be reduced linearly from the amounts determined under Section 3(d), which, for 2011 would be:

- i) President — the FCF bonus reduced linearly from 30% to 0% of annual base salary
- ii) Other Participants — the FCF bonus reduced linearly from 22.5% to 0% of annual base salary

g) If FCF exceeds Targeted FCF, then the FCF bonus earned will be increased linearly for each \$1 million increase in FCF above Targeted FCF by 0.833% (for both

President and other Participants)

4) Overall Bonus Earned

a) For 2011, the total bonus that may be earned under the Plan is subject to a cap:

- i) President - 150% of annual base salary
- ii) Other Participants - 125% of annual base salary

As noted above, the Committee has the authority to change the maximum bonuses under the Plan for subsequent years.

b) If FCF is below the amount required to meet the Company's stated annual dividend, then no annual incentive bonus will be paid under the Plan

Section 302 Certification

I, James L. Janik, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Douglas Dynamics, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ JAMES L. JANIK
James L. Janik
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 9, 2011

Section 302 Certification

I, Robert McCormick, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Douglas Dynamics, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

By: /s/ ROBERT MCCORMICK

Robert McCormick

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: May 9, 2011

CERTIFICATION
Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Douglas Dynamics, Inc., or the Company, on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2011 as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof, or Report, and pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, each of the undersigned officers of the Company certifies that:

- the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
- the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

By: /s/ JAMES L. JANIK
James L. Janik
President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 9, 2011

By: /s/ ROBERT MCCORMICK
Robert McCormick
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: May 9, 2011

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.
